
INTRODUCTION

Journal of Physical Activity & Health, 2006, 3(Suppl. 2), S1-S4
© 2006 Human Kinetics, Inc.

Strengthening the Scientific Basis of the *FITNESSGRAM*[®] Program

Gregory J. Welk

The mechanized nature of contemporary society has made it difficult for youth to obtain the recommended amount of physical activity. Results from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) revealed that nearly half of adolescents ages 12 to 21 are not vigorously active¹ and that over 25% of youth watch more than 4 hours of television per day.² Results from the national Youth Media Campaign Longitudinal Survey indicated that 62% of young children ages 9 to 13 do not participate in any organized physical activity outside of school.³ These patterns have gained considerable public health attention because low levels of physical activity and high amounts of physical inactivity have *both* been shown to predict the likelihood of becoming overweight in youth.^{4,5} Longitudinal studies have also demonstrated that physical activity,⁶ obesity,⁷ and cardiovascular disease risk factors^{8,9} established during childhood track through the lifespan. These findings clearly indicate the importance of physical activity for youth,¹⁰ yet it has remained difficult to reverse or even slow some of these trends.

The school environment is frequently targeted for intervention in youth since it provides a way to reach large segments of the youth population.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Schools provide opportunities for children to be active during the day and education/training on the physical, cognitive, and behavioral skills needed to be active later in life. A CDC Task Force on Community Preventive Services¹⁵ has “*strongly recommended*” the use of modified curricula and policies to increase physical activity in schools as a promising behavioral strategy to promote physical activity in children. The Institute of Medicine has also strongly emphasized the importance of school-based physical education as a strategy to combat youth obesity.¹⁶

Despite the acknowledged importance of physical activity, and the logical application of school-based programming, physical education remains a marginalized program in most schools. The lack of clear documentation of program effectiveness and the continued pressures for improved academic performance have made it difficult for decision makers to provide the needed support and infrastructure for quality physical education. The continued support of the PEP grant program, the release of progressive physical education requirements in many districts/states, and the new national requirement for school wellness policies are positive signs that the institution of physical education may receive the support and attention needed to allow it to carry out its important mission.

Gregory J. Welk, Scientific Director of *FITNESSGRAM*, is with the Dept. of Health and Human Performance, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011.

The *FITNESSGRAM*[®] program developed by the Cooper Institute has been providing physical education teachers with fitness-related resources and software for the past 25 years.¹⁷ From humble beginnings as a progressive physical education curriculum in a single school district, *FITNESSGRAM* has evolved to be one of the most widely used programs in the United States. It is the recommended assessment and promotion program for the American Alliance for Health, Physical Education, Recreation and Dance (AAHPERD) and the required assessment for the state of California and many other states and districts. While it is known primarily as a fitness assessment battery, the emphasis in the program has recently shifted toward the assessment and promotion of physical activity. For example, the current version of the *FITNESSGRAM* software (version 8.0) includes an enhanced version of the computerized activity assessment tool known as *ACTIVITYGRAM*[®] and a new behaviorally-based logging tool known as the Activity Log to help youth monitor their activity levels over time. These new tools provide teachers with resources to teach children the behavioral skills needed to remain physically active.

While many aspects of the *FITNESSGRAM* program have evolved over time, there has been a consistent focus on health and also on enhancing communication with parents through individualized student reports.[†] Coordinated links between the school, home, and community have been frequently recommended to promote physical activity in youth,¹¹ and the use of these *FITNESSGRAM* reports provides teachers with a systematic and effective means to communicate with parents.

The *FITNESSGRAM* program has been widely supported and adopted, in large part because it is based on a strong scientific foundation. The assessments in the *FITNESSGRAM* program have been developed and refined over time based on detailed reliability and validity studies. Systematic research also was critical to establish age- and gender-specific criterion referenced standards (CRS) for each of the *FITNESSGRAM* assessments. Summaries of this research and descriptions of the underlying philosophy behind the program are documented in the *FITNESSGRAM Reference Guide*,¹⁸ a free online resource available from the *FITNESSGRAM* web page (www.fitnessgram.net). While there is already an impressive body of literature published on the *FITNESSGRAM* program and the various assessments,¹⁸ there is an ongoing need for additional research. Research is needed to help us better understand sources of error in the different assessments and to develop new assessments that may more effectively assess physical activity or dimensions of health related physical fitness.

Research is also needed to help us examine measurement agreement between different assessments. This research is important for the overall scientific literature, but the greater impact is on the practical implications for professionals in the field. Children, parents, teachers, administrators, and public health officials are all key stakeholders who need to have confidence in the accuracy of the assessments and the utility of the programming. Documentation of agreement between tests is a particularly important issue as districts and states begin aggregating data from across multiple teachers and multiple schools.

The goal of this supplement was to compile a diverse range of studies related to *FITNESSGRAM*. Some of the articles provide comparisons that address new issues related to the *FITNESSGRAM* battery, while others use new measurement and analytic techniques to address previously unanswered questions. A highlight in the supplement is a detailed history of the *FITNESSGRAM* program. This paper (authored by Sharon Plowman and other members of the *FITNESSGRAM*

Scientific Advisory Board) chronicles the progression and evolution of the *FITNESSGRAM* program over the past 25 years. It provides an important historical summary of *FITNESSGRAM* as well as a valuable review of the health related fitness movement in physical education. Another important piece of the supplement is a commentary on appropriate use of fitness testing in schools. The assessments in *FITNESSGRAM*, like any tool, can be misused. This commentary points out pragmatic issues associated with fitness testing in schools and reminds readers that it is equally important to ensure that the tools are used for appropriate purposes and in the intended manner.^{††}

As the Scientific Director of the *FITNESSGRAM* program, I would like to take the opportunity to dedicate the supplement to the pioneering efforts of the original *FITNESSGRAM* Scientific Advisory Board. This group of distinguished scientists and professionals has provided valuable leadership, direction, and coordination for the *FITNESSGRAM* program. Collectively, the group has guided the *FITNESSGRAM* program through a variety of hurdles and across a broad swath of technological change. It is ironic that *FITNESSGRAM* evolved from large-scale processing of data using mainframe computers to stand-alone computers, and now back to large district-wide SQL servers. Through it all, the Scientific Advisory Board has remained true to the original *FITNESSGRAM* vision. The program was created with a vision of helping to enhance the effectiveness of school-based physical education and it will continue with this vision in mind.

References

1. Kann L, Kinchen SA, Williams BI, Ross JG, Lowry R, Grunbaum J, Kolbe LJ. Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance—United States, 1999. *Mor Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2000; 49(SS05):1-96.
2. Eisenmann JC, Barteet RT, Wang MQ. Physical activity, television viewing and weight status in U.S. adolescents: Results from the 1999 YRBS. *Obes Res.* 2002; 10:379-385.
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Physical activity levels among children aged 9-13 years—United States, 2002. *Mor Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2003; 52:785-788.
4. Berkey CS, Rockett HR, Field AE, Gillman MW, Frazier AL, Camargo CA, Jr., Colditz GA. Activity, dietary intake, and weight changes in a longitudinal study of preadolescent and adolescent boys and girls. *Pediatrics.* 2000; 105:E56.
5. Gordon-Larsen P, Adair LS, Popkin BM. Ethnic differences in physical activity and inactivity patterns and overweight status. *Obes Res.* 2002; 10:141-149.
6. Kelder SH, Perry CL, Klepp KI, Lytle LL. Longitudinal tracking of adolescent smoking, physical activity, and food choice behaviors. *Am J Publ Health.* 1994; 84:1121-1126.
7. Power C, Lake JK, Cole TJ. Measurement and long-term health risks of child and adolescent fatness. *Int J Obes.* 1997; 21:507-526.
8. Dietz WH. Health consequences of obesity in youth: Childhood predictors of adult disease. *Pediatrics.* 1998; 101:518.
9. Houston TK, Meoni LA, Ford DE, Brancati FL, Cooper LA, Levine DM, Liang KY, Klag MJ. Sports ability in young men and the incidence of cardiovascular disease. *Am J Med.* 2002; 112:689-695.
10. Strong WB, Malina RM, Blimkie CJ, Daniels SR, Dishman RK, Gutin B, Hergenroeder AC, Must A, Nixon PA, Pivarnik JM, Rowland T, Trost S, Trudeau F. Evidence based physical activity for school-age youth. *J Pediatr.* 2005; 146:732-737.
11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for school and community programs to promote lifelong physical activity among young people. *Mor Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1997; 46:1-36.

12. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Promoting Better Health for Young People Through Physical Activity and Sports*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2002.
13. The Prevention Institute. *Promoting Physical Activity Among Youth*. Columbus OH: The Prevention Institute, 2001.
14. American Academy of Pediatrics. Prevention of pediatric overweight and obesity. *Pediatrics*. 2003; 112:424-430.
15. CDC Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Increasing physical activity: A report on recommendations of the Task Force on Community Preventive Services. *Mor Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2001; 50:1-14.
16. Institute of Medicine. *Preventing Childhood Obesity: Health in the Balance*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press, 2005.
17. *FITNESSGRAM*[®]/*ACTIVITYGRAM*[®]. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 2004.
18. Welk GJ, Morrow JRJ, Falls HB (Eds.). *FITNESSGRAM Reference Guide*. Dallas, TX: The Cooper Institute, 2002.

Notes

† The name *FITNESSGRAM* was developed and coined in large measure as an analogy to the concept of a telegram that provided a form of improved communication.

†† The Scientific Advisory Board has published a Position Statement that provides guidelines for teachers, administrators, and public health officials on the appropriate use of *FITNESSGRAM* and *ACTIVITYGRAM* assessments. This position statement is posted on the *FITNESSGRAM* website (www.fitnessgram.net) with the *FITNESSGRAM* Reference Guide.